

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 20-1734V

(not to be published)

STEPHANIE BANKS and BRADLEY
BANKS, on behalf of B.B., a minor
child,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: April 25, 2023

Special Processing Unit (SPU);
Attorney's Fees and Costs

Danielle Strait, Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA, Seattle, WA, for Petitioner.

Meghan Murphy, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On December 2, 2020, Stephanie Banks and Bradley Banks filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"), on behalf of their minor child, B.B. Petitioners allege that B.B. suffered intussusception, which meets the Table definition, after receiving a second rotavirus vaccine on July 10, 2019. Petition at 1. On December 9, 2022 a decision was issued awarding compensation to Petitioners based on the Respondent's proffer. ECF No. 45.

¹ Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioners have now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, dated February 22, 2023 (ECF No. 50), requesting a total award of \$40,853.11 (representing \$39,585.00 in fees and \$1,268.11 in costs). In accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioners filed a signed statement indicating that they incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. ECF No. 50-4. Respondent reacted to the motion on February 28, 2023, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. ECF No. 51. On March 7, 2023, Petitioners filed a reply requesting the Court to award all fees and costs as indicated in Petitioners Motion. ECF No. 52.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioners' request. In my experience, the request appears reasonable, and I find no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioners' Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of **\$40,853.11** (representing \$39,585.00 in fees and \$1,268.11 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioners and Petitioners' counsel, Danielle A. Strait. **Per Petitioners' request, the check is to be forwarded to Maglio Christopher & Toale Law, 1605 Main Street, Suite 710, Sarasota Florida 34236.** In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.